## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

CRAIG REED, et al.,

Plaintiffs

VS.

FREEBIRD FILM PRODUCTIONS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:08-CV-01761

JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT RHI ENTERTAINMENT DISTRIBUTION, LLC (SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO NAMED DEFENDANT HALLMARK ENTERTAIN DIST., LLC)

Defendant RHI Entertainment Distribution, LLC, successor in interest to named defendant Hallmark Entertainment Dist., LLC, responds as follows to the Complaint herein.

#### FIRST DEFENSE

- 1. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity as to the allegations made in paragraphs 1 through 9 of the Complaint.
- 2. Defendant admits that RHI Entertainment Distribution, LLC, successor in interest to Hallmark Entertainment Dist., LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in New York; admits that it has marketed audio-visual works freaturing the band Lynyrd Skynyrd pursuant to rights it obtained from Cabin Fever Entertainment, Inc.; denies the remaining allegations made in paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 3. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity as to the allegations made in paragraphs 11 and 12 of the Complaint.
- 4. Defendant admits that plaintiff purports to base jurisdiction on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and (b) and 1367; avers that these allegations constitute a legal conclusion which is not

properly admitted nor denied, but for the purposes of answering only, denies the allegations made in paragraph 13 of the Complaint.

- 5. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraphs 14 and 15 of the Complaint.
- 6. Defendant avers it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity as to the allegations made in paragraphs 16 through 38 of the Complaint.
- 7. Defendant admits that it acquired from Cabin Fever the rights possessed by Cabin Fever to "Freebird...The Movie;" admits that it entered into an agreement with Artisan Entertainment, Inc. whereby it authorized Artisan to exploit certain of those rights; and denies the remaining allegations made in paragraph 39 of the Complaint.
- 8. For its answer to paragraph 40 of the Complaint, defendant admits that, pursuant to certain rights that it acquired from Cabin Fever, it or persons authorized by it have distributed and sold copies of "Freebird...The Movie."
- 9. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity as to the allegations made paragraphs 41 through 44 of the Complaint.
- 10. Defendant denies that it has an obligation to pay plaintiff any portion of profits in connection with its exploitation of "Freebird...The Movie;" avers that it has fully performed all of its obligations owed to others with respect to its exploitation of "Freebird...The Movie;" and avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in paragraph 45 of the Complaint.
- 11. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in paragraphs 46 through 52 of the Complaint.
- 12. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraphs 53 through 59 of the Complaint.

- 13. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in paragraph 60 of the Complaint.
  - 14. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraph 61 of the Complaint.
- 15. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in paragraph 62 of the Complaint.
- 16. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraph 63 through 66 of the Complaint.
- 17. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in paragraphs 67 through 74 of the Complaint.
  - 18. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraph 75 of the Complaint.
- 19. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in paragraphs 76 through 85 of the Complaint.
  - 20. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraph 86 of the Complaint.
- 21. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in paragraphs 87 through 90 of the Complaint.

### **ANSWER TO COUNT ONE**

- 22. For its answer to paragraph 91 of the Complaint, defendant repeats and realleges each and every admission, allegation, averment, statement or denial contained in the foregoing paragraphs of its answer to the Complaint, as if the same were fully rewritten herein.
- 23. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in paragraphs 92 through 96 of the Complaint.

#### **ANSWER TO COUNT TWO**

- 24. For its answer to paragraph 97 of the Complaint, defendant repeats and realleges each and every admission, allegation, averment, statement or denial contained in the foregoing paragraphs of its answer to the Complaint, as if the same were fully rewritten herein.
- 25. Defendant avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations made in paragraphs 98 through 101 of the Complaint.
  - 26. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraph 102 of the Complaint.
- 27. Defendant denies that it engaged in any of the conduct alleged in paragraphs 103 through 107 of the Complaint; and avers that it lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations made in paragraphs 103 through 107 of the Complaint.
- 28. Defendant denies the allegations made in paragraphs 108 through 110 of the Complaint.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

- 29. The Complaint, and each count thereof, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted against defendant.
  - 30. This Court lacks personal jurisdiction over the defendant.
- 31. Any exploitation of film featuring the band Lynyrd Skynyrd engaged in by defendant was done pursuant to license.
  - 32. Any and all amounts owed by defendant to its licensor have been paid.
  - 33. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of laches.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered, defendant asks that this Court award judgment in its favor, dismissing the Complaint, and awarding defendant its costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees incurred in defending this action.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Louis A. Colombo

Louis A. Colombo (0025711)
Brandt W. Gebhardt (0079823)
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
3200 National City Center
1900 East Ninth Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 621-0200 (telephone)
(216) 696-0740 (facsimile)
lcolombo@bakerlaw.com
bgebhardt@bakerlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant RHI Entertainment Distribution, LLC

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

A copy of the foregoing Answer was served upon each of the defendants via the Court's electronic filing system this 8th day of September, 2008.

s/Louis A. Colombo

One of the Attorneys for Defendant RHI Entertainment Distribution, LLC